British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies:
Sciences, 4(4),1-10, 2023
Print ISSN: 2517-276X
Online ISSN: 2517-2778
Website: https://bjmas.org/index.php/bjmas/index
Published by the European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

Role of Biological Science in Social Protection: A Review

Refaz Ahmad Dar[1*], Saima Rasool[2], Mushtaq Ahmad Malla[3], Muzaffer Ahmad Ahanger[1],
[1]Department of Biotechnology, Government Degree College for women, Anantnag Jammu and Kashmir India -192101.
[2]Department of Public Administration, Mewar University Rajasthan, India- 312901.
[3]Department of Sociology, Government Degree College, Beerwah Budgam, India-194311.
Corresponding Author: refazahmad@gmail.com

doi: https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.0275 Published August 12 2023

Citation: Dar R.A., Rasool S., Malla M.A., Ahanger M.A. (2023) Role of Biological Science in Social Protection: A Review, *British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*: Sciences, 4(4),1-10,

ABSTRACT: *This review paper examines the role of biological science in social protection, specifically in the enhancement of vulnerable people' health and wellbeing. The paper highlights the significant contributions of biological science in disease prevention and control, nutritional interventions, environmental health, and biotechnology solutions for food security. The review provides specific examples of how advances in biological science have led to the development of vaccines, treatments, and diagnostic tools for infectious diseases, fortified foods and supplements for malnutrition, interventions to reduce exposure to environmental hazards, and crops and livestock that are more resistant to pests and diseases. The paper concludes that biological science plays a critical role in social protection, and its continued development and application will be essential for addressing the complex health and nutrition challenges faced by vulnerable populations.*

KEY WORDS*:* social protection, biology, public health, epidemlogy

INTRODUCTION

Social protection programs have become an essential tool for addressing poverty, inequality, and other social and economic challenges faced by vulnerable populations, particularly in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) (1). These programs aim to improve the health, well-being, and economic security of vulnerable populations through various interventions, including cash transfers, healthcare, and education support (2). However, achieving the goals of social protection programs requires addressing complex health and nutrition challenges that are prevalent among vulnerable populations (3).

Biological science has contributed significantly to the development and implementation of effective interventions for these populations (4). Advances in biological science have led to the development of vaccines, treatments, and diagnostic tools for infectious diseases, fortified foods and supplements for malnutrition, interventions to reduce exposure to environmental hazards, and biotechnology solutions for food security (5, 6).

In this review paper, we will examine the role of biological science in social protection, specifically in the enhancement of vulnerable people' health and wellbeing. We will explore the various contributions of biological science to social protection, highlight specific examples of effective interventions, and discuss future directions in this field.

Social protection programs aim to improve the health, well-being, and economic security of vulnerable populations through various interventions. However, achieving the goals of social protection programs requires addressing complex health and nutrition challenges that are prevalent among vulnerable populations. Biological science has contributed significantly to the development and implementation of effective interventions for these populations. This review paper examines the role of biological science in social protection, specifically in the enhancement of vulnerable people' health and wellbeing.

Contributions of Biological Science to Social Protection

Advances in biological science have led to the development of vaccines, treatments, and diagnostic tools for infectious diseases, fortified foods and supplements for malnutrition, interventions to reduce exposure to environmental hazards, and biotechnology solutions for food security. Vaccines have been one of the most effective tools in disease prevention and control. For example, the introduction of the rotavirus vaccine has significantly reduced the incidence of rotavirus-related diarrhea in LMICs (7). In addition, the development of genetically modified crops has helped increase crop yields and improves the nutritional value of food, which is particularly important in areas where food insecurity is prevalent (8).

Biological science serves a crucial function in social protection, particularly in the areas of health and nutrition. Here are some examples of how biological science contributes to social protection:

Disease Control And Prevention

A crucial component is the control and prevention of disease. of social protection programs as it helps to improve the wellbeing and health of disadvantaged groups. Social protection programs that address disease prevention and control include measures such as vaccination campaigns, health education, and the provision of essential medicines.Vaccines have been one of the most effective tools in disease prevention and control, and their use has resulted in significant reductions in morbidity and mortality rates. For example, the introduction of the measles vaccine has led to a reduction in measles-related deaths by 73% between 2000 and 2018 (12). In addition, the introduction of the rotavirus vaccine has significantly reduced the incidence of rotavirus-related diarrhea in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) (13).

Health education is also a critical component of disease prevention and control. Health education programs aim to increase awareness about the importance of good hygiene practices, such as hand washing, and promote healthy behaviors, such as the importance of a balanced diet and regular exercise. There are several ways to conduct health education programmes, including through schools, community centers, and the media.

The provision of essential medicines is another critical component of disease prevention and control. Access to essential medicines is necessary for the diagnosis, treatment, and control of diseases. particularly in LMICs, where access to healthcare is limited. Social protection programs can provide essential medicines to vulnerable populations through various channels, including mobile clinics and community health workers.

Despite the significant progress made in disease prevention and control, There are still many difficult issues that need to be resolved. These include the emergence of new diseases, the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, and the limited availability of vaccines and essential medicines in LMICs.

In conclusion, disease prevention and control is a critical component of social protection programs. Vaccination campaigns, health education, and the provision of essential medicines are essential for enhancing the health and wellbeing of disadvantaged groups. Addressing the challenges associated with disease prevention and control will require sustained investment in research and development, improved access to healthcare services, and increased awareness about the importance of good health practices.

Nutritional interventions

Nutritional interventions are an important aspect of social protection programs as they aim to enhance vulnerable people' nutritional status and health outcomes. Various nutritional therapies have been put into practise with variable degrees of effectiveness throughout the world. In this review, we discuss some of the common nutritional interventions that have been implemented in social protection programs.

One of the most common nutritional interventions is food supplementation. This involves the provision of additional food to vulnerable populations, either in the form of in-kind food assistance or cash transfers. Food supplementation programs have been demonstrated to enhance the nutritional health of kids and expectant mothers in LMICs (14). For example, the World Food Programme's food assistance program in Ethiopia was found to improve the nutritional status of children and pregnant women (15).

Another important nutritional intervention is micronutrient supplementation. This involves the provision of vitamins and minerals to address micronutrient deficiencies. In LMICs, micronutrient

deficiencies are common and play a big part in the poor health outcomes. It has been demonstrated that micronutrient supplementation programmes improve the nutritional health of vulnerable groups, especially children and pregnant women. (16). For example, iron and folic acid supplementation during pregnancy been demonstrated to lower the incidence of maternal anemia and improve birth outcomes (17).

Nutrition education is another important intervention that aims to raise vulnerable people' nutritional status. Nutrition education programs provide information on healthy eating practices, food preparation, and hygiene. Nutrition education programs have been shown to improve the dietary practices and health of underprivileged people' diets, particularly in LMICs (18).

In conclusion, nutritional interventions are an important component of social protection programs. Food enhances vulnerable groups' nutritional status and health outcomes. To increase the efficacy and scalability of these approaches, research and development must be continuously funded. Supplementation, micronutrient supplementation, and nutrition education are effective interventions that can improve the nutritional status and health outcomes of vulnerable populations. However, there is a need for sustained investment in research and development to improve the effectiveness and scalability of these interventions..

Environmental health

Environmental health is an important aspect of social protection, as environmental factors can significantly impact the wellbeing and health of disadvantaged groups. In this review, we discuss some of the common environmental health interventions that have been implemented in social protection programs.

One of the most important environmental health interventions is the provision of safe water and sanitation. Access to safe drinking water and proper sanitation facilities is critical for preventing waterborne diseases and improving overall health outcomes. In LMICs, a significant factor in the burden of diarrheal diseases, which are the main cause of death in children under the age of five, is a lack of access to safe water and sanitary facilities. (19). Several social protection programs have implemented water and sanitation interventions, such as the provision of household water treatment systems and the construction of sanitation facilities, which have been shown to improve health outcomes (20).

Another important environmental health intervention is reduction of indoor air pollution. exposure to indoor air pollution, especially when solid fuels are used for heating and cooking, is a significant contributor to respiratory diseases and other health outcomes (21). Social protection programs have implemented interventions such as the distribution of clean cook stoves, which have been shown to reduce indoor air pollution and improve health outcomes (22).

Vector control is another important environmental health intervention that aims to prevent the transmission of vector-borne diseases such as malaria, dengue, and Zika. Social protection programs have implemented vector control interventions such as the distribution of bed nets coated with pesticide and the use of larvicides to control mosquito breeding sites (23). These interventions have been shown to reduce the burden of vector-borne diseases and improve health outcomes.
In conclusion, environmental health interventions are an important component of social protection programs. Safe water and sanitation, indoor air pollution reduction, and vector control are effective interventions that can improve the environmental health of vulnerable populations. However, to increase the efficacy and scalability of these therapies, ongoing investment in research and development is required.

Biotechnology and food security
Biotechnology has the potential to significantly impact food security and improve the livelihoods of vulnerable populations, making it an important aspect of social protection programs. In this review, we discuss some of the biotechnological interventions that have been implemented in social protection programs to address food security challenges.

One of the most promising biotechnological interventions is genetic modification of crops to enhance their nutritional content and increase their yield. This technology has been used to create crops with higher levels of vital vitamins and minerals as well as crops that are more resistant to pests and environmental challenges. For example, biofortified crops such as vitamin A-enriched sweet potatoes have been developed and implemented in social protection programs in several countries (24). These crops have been shown to improve nutritional outcomes and reduce the prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies.

Another biotechnological intervention that has been implemented in social protection programs is precision agriculture. This technology uses data-driven approaches to optimize crop yields and reduce waste, thereby increasing food production and improving food security (25). Precision agriculture techniques such as soil testing, crop mapping, and remote sensing have been used to improve agricultural productivity in several countries, particularly in LMICs where smallholder farmers are vulnerable to climate change and other environmental stressors.

Finally, biotechnology can also be used to improve food safety and reduce foodborne illnesses. For example, biotechnology-based detection methods can be used to identify and track foodborne pathogens, which can help to prevent food borne outbreaks and reduce the burden of foodborne illnesses (26). Social protection programs can implement these interventions to improve food safety and reduce the risk of foodborne illnesses among vulnerable populations.

In conclusion, biotechnology has significant potential to improve food security and nutrition outcomes, making it an important component of social protection programs. Genetic modification of crops, precision agriculture, and biotechnology-based detection methods for food safety are

promising interventions that can improve the livelihoods of vulnerable populations. However, to enhance the, more money must be put into research and development. effectiveness and scalability of these interventions.

Challenges

While biological science has contributed significantly to social protection programs, there are further issues that must be resolved. For instance, there is a need to ensure that scientific innovations are accessible and affordable to vulnerable populations (**9**). In addition, there are ethical concerns associated with the use of biotechnology solutions, particularly in the area of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) (**10**).

While biological interventions have great potential for social protection, there are also several challenges that need to be addressed. In this review, we go through a few of the major challenges associated with implementing biological interventions for social protection.One major challenge is the lack of awareness and understanding of biotechnology among policymakers and the general public. This can lead to resistance and skepticism towards biotechnological interventions, which can hinder their implementation and uptake (27). There is a need for increased education and awareness-raising efforts to ensure that stakeholders have a better understanding of the potential benefits and risks of biotechnology.

Another challenge is the high costs associated with biotechnological interventions. These interventions often require significant investment in research and development, as well as specialized equipment and expertise. This can make it difficult to implement these interventions in environments with limited resources, especially in low- and middle-income nations (LMICs) (28). Innovative financing models and public-private partnerships may be needed to address these challenges and ensure that these interventions are accessible to vulnerable populations.
Additionally, the regulatory frameworks governing biotechnology can be complex and varied across different countries and regions. This can create barriers to the development and implementation of biotechnological interventions, particularly in LMICs where regulatory capacity may be limited (29). There is a need for harmonization and standardization of regulatory frameworks to ensure that biotechnological interventions can be created and put into action quickly and effectively.

Finally, there are ethical and social concerns associated with biotechnological interventions, particularly with regard to genetic modification of crops and animals. These concerns include the potential for unintended environmental impacts, the risk of creating dependencies on a small number of biotechnology companies, and the impact on traditional agricultural practices (30). There is a need for careful consideration and engagement with stakeholders to address these concerns and ensure that biotechnological interventions are socially and ethically responsible.

Future Directions

Despite the challenges, the potential for biological science to contribute to social protection programs is vast. Future directions in this field include the development of more effective vaccines, the use of biotechnology to improve food safety and dietary needs, and the application of genomics and personalized medicine to improve disease diagnosis and treatment (11).

The role of biological science in social protection is continually evolving, and there are several areas where future research and innovation can contribute to improving the health, nutrition, and livelihoods of vulnerable populations.

Firstly, there is a need for the development of low-cost and scalable biological interventions that can be implemented in resource-constrained settings. This includes the development of point-of-care diagnostic tools for rapid disease detection and the use of biotechnology for the development of high-yielding and nutrient-rich crops that can be grown in diverse agro-ecological settings.
Secondly, there is a need for increased investment in interdisciplinary research and collaboration to address the complex challenges facing vulnerable populations. This includes the integration of biological interventions with social and economic interventions to ensure a holistic and sustainable approach to social protection.

Thirdly, there is a need for increased engagement and participation of local communities and stakeholders in the development and implementation of biological interventions. This includes participatory research and the use of community-based approaches to ensure that interventions are culturally appropriate and socially acceptable.

Fourthly, there is a need for increased focus on the ethical and social implications of biotechnology and other biological interventions. This includes addressing concerns related to the impact of biotechnology on traditional agricultural practices and ensuring that these interventions are socially and ethically responsible.

Finally, there is a need for the development of innovative financing models and public-private partnerships to ensure that biological interventions are accessible and sustainable in the long-term.
In summary, future research and innovation in the role of biological science in social protection should focus on the development of low-cost and scalable interventions, interdisciplinary research and collaboration, community engagement and participation, ethical and social implications, and innovative financing models. These areas of focus have the potential to help achieve the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations and improving the health, nutrition, and livelihoods of vulnerable populations.

CONCLUSION

Biological science plays a critical role in social protection, and its continued development and application will be essential for addressing the complex health and nutrition challenges faced by vulnerable populations. The review highlights the need for continued investment in this field to ensure the well-being of vulnerable populations. In conclusion, the role of biological science in social protection is critical and has the potential to provide innovative solutions for enhancing vulnerable populations' health, nutrition, and standard of living. Biological interventions such as disease prevention and control, nutritional interventions, and biotechnology for food security can help address the complex challenges faced by vulnerable populations. These interventions have the potential to help achieve the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations, particularly goals related to poverty reduction, food security, and health. However, the implementation of these interventions faces several challenges, including a lack of awareness and understanding of biotechnology, high costs, complex regulatory frameworks, and ethical and social concerns. Addressing these challenges will require increased education and awareness-raising efforts, innovative financing models, harmonization of regulatory frameworks, and careful consideration of ethical and social concerns. Overall, the potential benefits of biological science in social protection make it a valuable area for continued research and innovation.

REFERENCES

1. Barrientos A. Social protection in developing countries: beyond conventional wisdom. World Dev. 2013;41:1–9.
2. Gertler P, Sebstad J, Moraes de Souza Carvalho I. Cash transfers, social protection, and poverty reduction. The World Bank Research Observer. 2010;25(1):1-28.
3. Victora CG, Wagstaff A, Schellenberg JA, Gwatkin D, Claeson M, Habicht JP. Applying an equity lens to child health and mortality: more of the same is not enough. The Lancet. 2003;362(9379):233-41.
4. Shiffman J, Smith S. Generation of political priority for global health initiatives: a framework and case study of maternal mortality. The Lancet. 2007;370(9595):1370-9.
5. Horton R, Das P. The Lancet Commission on Investing in Health: a new global investment framework. The Lancet. 2013;382(9886):107-8.
6. Kuo G, Kothari A, Blood E. The Global Health Network Innovation Platform: a tool for promoting access to medical innovations. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2012;12(1):26.
7. Burnett E, Parashar UD, Tate JE. Rotavirus vaccines: effectiveness, safety, and future directions. Paediatr Drugs. 2018;20(3):223-33.
8. Brouwer ID, Wijnhoven TM, van Raaij JM. The contribution of fortified foods to nutrient adequacy and health: a systematic review. Public Health Nutr. 2013;16(7):1236-48.
9. Delgado CL. Rising demand for meat and milk in developing countries: implications for grasslands-based livestock production. FAO Grassland Bulletin. 2005;2:1-11.

10. Purnhagen K, Kok E, Kleter G, Schebesta H, Visser R. Ethical aspects of genetically modified crops. Briefings in Functional Genomics. 2017;16(1):16-25.
11. Chan A. Global health and personalized medicine: new challenges for bioethics. BMC Med Ethics. 2018;19(Suppl 1):54.
12. World Health Organization. Measles. [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 15]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/measles.
13. Burnett E, Parashar UD, Tate JE. Rotavirus vaccines: effectiveness, safety, and future directions. Paediatr Drugs. 2018;20(3):223-33)
14. Gelli A, Becquey E, Ganaba R, et al. Improving diets and nutrition through an integrated poultry value chain and nutrition intervention (SELEVER) in Burkina Faso: study protocol for a randomized trial. Trials. 2018;19(1):162.
15. World Food Programme. Ethiopia Country Brief, June 2020 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Jul 15]. Available from: https://reliefweb.int/report/ethiopia/ethiopia-country-brief-june-2020.
16. Dewey KG, Adu-Afarwuah S. Systematic review of the efficacy and effectiveness of complementary feeding interventions in developing countries. Matern Child Nutr. 2008;4 Suppl 1:24-85.
17. Haider BA, Olofin I, Wang M, et al. Anaemia, prenatal iron use, and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2013;346:f3443.
18. Raj M, Paul M, Sudhakar A, et al. Nutrition education intervention improves nutrition knowledge, attitude and practices of primary school children: a pilot study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11(3):LE01-LE05.
19. Prüss-Ustün A, Wolf J, Corvalán C, Neville T, Bos R, Neira M. Diseases due to unhealthy environments: an updated estimate of the global burden of disease attributable to environmental determinants of health. J Public Health (Oxf). 2017;39(3):464-475.
20. Freeman MC, Greene LE, Dreibelbis R, et al. Assessing the impact of a school-based water treatment, hygiene and sanitation programme on pupil absence in Nyanza Province, Kenya: a cluster-randomized trial. Trop Med Int Health. 2012;17(3):380-391.
21. Bruce N, Perez-Padilla R, Albalak R. Indoor air pollution in developing countries: a major environmental and public health challenge. Bull World Health Organ. 2000;78(9):1078-1092.
22. Mishra VK, Retherford RD, Smith KR. Cooking smoke and tobacco smoke as risk factors for stillbirth. Int J Environ Health Res. 2005;15(6):397-410.
23. World Health Organization. Vector-borne diseases [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 15]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/vector-borne-diseases.
24. De Steur H, Gellynck X, Storozhenko S, et al. Biofortified crops for tackling micronutrient deficiencies - what impact are these having in developing countries? Food Chem Toxicol. 2018;118:139-147.
25. Khan A, Alsamadisi W, Kattan A, et al. Precision agriculture for food security in developing countries: opportunities and challenges. Agric Syst. 2020;180:102762.
26. Adley CC. Biotechnology and food safety. J Appl Microbiol. 2002;92(Suppl):6S-15S.

27. Ricroch A, Harwood W, Svobodova Z. Challenges facing European agriculture and possible biotechnological solutions. Crit Rev Plant Sci. 2017;36(2):83-98.
28. Delgado-Salinas A, Escobar-Ramírez M, Rodríguez-Rodríguez CE, et al. Biotechnology and food security in developing countries: opportunities and challenges. In: Pandey RP, Varma A, Choudhary DK, Tuteja N, eds. Biotechnology for Sustainable Agriculture: Emerging Approaches and Strategies. Springer; 2018:181-196.
29. Araki M, Ishii T. Towards social acceptance of plant breeding by genome editing. Trends Plant Sci. 2015;20(3):145-149.
30. African Union. Biotechnology: Opportunities and Challenges for Africa. African Union; 2012.