

Thinking Outside the Box and Inside the Details: The Role of Creative and Critical Thinking in Contemporary Problem-Solving

Oviemuno Wilfred Egara

Directorate of General Studies, Southern Delta University, PMB. 5, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria

egaraovie@gmail.com

Peter M. Etaware (PhD)

Plant Science and Biotechnology Unit, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science,
Southern Delta University, PMB.5, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria

peterparkers007@gmail.com

doi: <https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.04277>

Published April 08, 2025

Citation: Egara O.W. and Etaware P.M. (2025) Thinking Outside the Box and Inside the Details: The Role of Creative and Critical Thinking in Contemporary Problem-Solving, *British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*, 6 (2),68-76

Abstract: *The increasingly complex and dynamic nature of contemporary problems demands innovative and effective problem-solving approaches. This study explores the synergistic relationship between creative and critical thinking in tackling modern-day challenges. The research investigates the hypothesis that the integration of creative and critical thinking skills enhances problem-solving efficacy. A qualitative method of research was employed to see this work to completion. The findings reveal a significant positive correlation between creative and critical thinking skills and problem-solving success. Notably, the study identifies key factors that facilitate or hinder the effective application of creative and critical thinking, including organizational culture, education, and training. This research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing empirical evidence of the complementary nature of creative and critical thinking in problem-solving. The study recommends that educators, policymakers, and organizational leaders prioritize the development of both creative and critical thinking skills, and foster a culture that encourages their integration. By doing so, individuals and organizations can enhance their capacity to tackle complex problems and thrive in an increasingly uncertain world.*

Keywords: creative thinking, critical thinking, problem solving, skills,

INTRODUCTION

It is a truism to say that globalization is changing the way we live our lives and the way we work. First of all, we are increasingly faced with complex problems that affect the whole world, whether it is global warming, terrorism, pollution, financial crises, or new epidemics. We need good thinking and creative ideas to coordinate efforts to solve these problems. In today's rapidly evolving world, the ability to think both creatively and critically has become increasingly vital. These two distinct yet complementary cognitive processes form the foundation of effective problem-solving, innovation, and decision-making across all domains of human endeavor. These skills are essential for solving complex problems, making informed decisions, and driving innovation (Paul and Elder 2012, pp.22-23). Creativity involves generating novel ideas, while critical thinking evaluates these ideas for practicality and coherence. Together, they form a cognitive synergy vital for innovation, ethical decision-making, and lifelong learning. The philosophical roots of these concepts trace back to ancient civilizations, with contemporary applications spanning from educational reform to technological advancements.

This research therefore examines how creative and critical thinking serve as essential pillars of problem-solving in today's world, exploring their distinctive characteristics, their interrelationship, and their practical applications across various domains. By understanding how these cognitive processes work independently and in concert, individuals and organizations can develop more robust approaches to navigating the complexities of modern problems.

Understanding the Concept of Creative Thinking

Creative thinking involves generating new and original ideas, solutions, or products. It requires a willingness to take risks, challenge assumptions, and explore unconventional approaches that transcend conventional boundaries (Koestler 1964, p.28). It involves looking at problems from multiple angles and making unique connections between seemingly unrelated elements. This cognitive process is characterized by several key attributes that distinguish it from more traditional forms of thinking. For Csikszentmihalyi (1996, p.23), creativity emerges at “the intersection where individuals, domains, and fields interact.” Creative thinking often involves what De Bono terms “lateral thinking,” which entail approaching problems from unexpected angles and challenging established patterns of thought (Edward De Bono, 1970, p.11).

According to Graham Wallas' *The Art of Thought* (1926), the creative process consists of four stages: preparation, incubation, illumination, and verification. This framework underscores the iterative nature of creativity, where inspiration arises from a deep understanding of the problem. In the arts, creativity manifests in masterpieces that challenge perceptions, such as Picasso's *Guernica*, Michael Angelo's *Basilica* paintings, Leonardo Da Vinci's works, etc. In technology, it drives breakthroughs like artificial intelligence (AI). Businesses leverage creativity to design

products that meet evolving consumer needs. As De Bono states in *Lateral Thinking* (1970, pp.11-13), creativity is not merely an innate talent but a skill that can be nurtured through structured exercises, such as brainstorming and mind mapping.

The Four Pillars of Creative Thinking

There are four main pillars of creative thinking where the thought system is coordinated to bring about the desired goal or idea. These pillars are:

Fluency: Fluency refers to the ability to generate multiple ideas or solutions rapidly. This aspect of creative thinking emphasizes quantity over quality in the initial stages, operating on the principle that a greater number of ideas increase the likelihood of finding innovative solutions. For instance, when brainstorming solutions to urban transportation problems, a creative thinker might rapidly generate ideas ranging from flying cars to underground pedestrian networks, regardless of their immediate feasibility.

Flexibility: Flexibility in creative thinking involves the capacity to shift between different categories of thought and approach problems from various perspectives. This attribute enables individuals to break free from mental sets and explore alternative pathways to solutions. A flexible thinker examining environmental challenges might consider technological, social, economic, and cultural approaches simultaneously.

Originality: Originality represents the uniqueness or novelty of ideas generated. This component distinguishes truly creative thinking from mere reproduction of existing solutions. Original thinking often emerges from combining disparate concepts or viewing familiar situations through an entirely new lens. The development of ride-sharing applications, for instance, represented an original solution that combined existing technologies with new social patterns.

Elaboration: Elaboration involves the ability to develop and refine initial ideas into more complex and sophisticated solutions. This process transforms raw concepts into practical innovations through careful consideration of details, implications, and potential applications. The evolution of smart-phones from basic communication devices to multifunctional tools exemplifies the power of elaboration in creative thinking.

The Nature of Critical Thinking

Critical thinking, by contrast, involves the systematic evaluation and analysis of information, arguments, and proposed solutions. As defined by the Foundation for Critical Thinking, it is "the intellectually disciplined process of actively and skillfully conceptualizing, applying, analyzing, synthesizing, and/or evaluating information gathered from, or generated by, observation, experience, reflection, reasoning, or communication, as a guide to belief and action" (Foundation for critical thinking, nd). This process employs convergent thinking, which focuses on narrowing possibilities to identify optimal solutions based on logical reasoning and evidence. Critical

thinking represents thinking in a clear, logical, reasoned, and reflective manner to make informed judgments or decisions (NA, the peck performance). It involves applying logical principles, examining evidence, and making reasoned judgments. Rooted in Socratic questioning, critical thinking emphasizes inquiry and reflection. It requires a systematic and objective approach to problem-solving, as well as the ability to recognize and challenge biases and assumptions (Paul and Elder 2012, p.12).

Critical thinking involves the ability to question, use logic, remain objective, examine, analyze, interpret, evaluate, reason, and reflect (NA, the peck performance). In general, critical thinking is used to make logical well-informed decisions after analyzing and evaluating information or an array of ideas (NA, the peck performance).

In education, critical thinking equips students to navigate an information-rich world. In ethics, it helps individuals discern moral dilemmas. As Elder and Paul asserts in *Critical Thinking: Tools for Taking Charge of Your Learning and Your Life*, this skill is essential for fostering intellectual independence and combating misinformation.

Core Elements of Critical Thinking

Critical thinking has at its core the following elements:

Analysis: Analysis in critical thinking involves breaking down complex information into its constituent parts to understand relationships and patterns. This process requires careful examination of evidence, assumptions, and logical connections. For example, when evaluating a research study, critical thinkers systematically examine the methodology to be used, data collection, analysis procedures, and conclusions to assess validity and reliability.

Evaluation: Evaluation encompasses the assessment of information quality, credibility, and relevance. Critical thinkers must weigh evidence, consider multiple perspectives, and identify potential biases or limitations. This process involves asking probing questions such as:

- a) What evidence supports this claim?
- b) What alternative explanations exist?
- c) What assumptions underlie this argument?
- d) How reliable are the sources?

Inference: Inference involves drawing logical conclusions based on available evidence and reasoning. Critical thinkers must distinguish between valid and invalid inferences, recognize logical fallacies, and understand the limitations of their conclusions. This process requires careful consideration of:

- a) The strength of evidence
- b) The logical consistency of arguments

- c) The relevance of information
- d) The reliability of sources

Interpretation: Interpretation involves understanding and explaining the meaning and significance of information. Critical thinkers must consider context, identify implicit assumptions, and recognize patterns and relationships. This process requires:

- a) Understanding multiple perspectives
- b) Recognizing cultural and contextual factors
- c) Identifying underlying assumptions
- d) Considering implications and consequences

Paul and Elder developed a framework that is known as the Paul-Elder critical thinking framework for critical thinkers. This framework consists of eight elements which are designed to help individuals analyze and evaluate information, arguments, and ideas. The eight elements of the framework consist of the following:

1. Purpose: This asks such questions as - what is the purpose of the thinking task?
2. Question: What is the key question or problem(s) being addressed?
3. Information: What information is relevant to the question or problem being addressed?
4. Inference: What inference can be drawn from the information received?
5. Concept: What concepts or ideas are relevant to the question or problem(s)?
6. Assumption: What assumptions are being made, and if there is any, are they justified?
7. Implication: What are the implications of the object of thinking, and are they desirable?
8. Point of View: What is the position or perspective being taken, and is it justified? (Paul and Elder 2001, pp.22-23)

By applying the Paul-Elder Critical Thinking Framework, individuals can develop their critical thinking skills, make more informed decisions, and solve complex problems more effectively.

The Complementary Relationship between Creative and Critical Thinking

While creative and critical thinking represent distinct cognitive approaches, they function most effectively as complementary processes in problem-solving. Kaufman and Sternberg (2010, pp. 143-145) argue that innovative problem-solving requires both “generative and exploratory” processes, the ability to produce novel ideas and the capacity to evaluate and refine them. This complementarity creates a dynamic interplay that enhances overall cognitive effectiveness.

Creative thinking provides the raw material, the novel ideas, unconventional perspectives, and imaginative solutions that form the foundation of innovation. Critical thinking supplies the analytical framework necessary to evaluate these ideas, identify their strengths and weaknesses, and refine them into viable solutions. Creative thinking generates innovative ideas, while critical thinking refines them. For instance, the development of the COVID-19 vaccines required creative

approaches to biotechnology and critical evaluation of safety and efficacy. Without creative thinking, problem-solving becomes constrained by conventional approaches and existing paradigms. Without critical thinking, potentially brilliant ideas may remain undeveloped or prove impractical when implemented.

Research carried out by Mumford et al. (1991, p.91) suggests that effective problem-solving typically follows a cycle of divergent and convergent thinking; generating multiple potential solutions (creative), evaluating and selecting promising options (critical), elaborating and refining these options (creative), and implementing and assessing the chosen solution (critical). This cyclical process allows for both innovation and practicality, embracing both exploration and evaluation.

Importance of Creative and Critical Thinking

Creative and critical thinking are essential skills in various contexts, including education, business, healthcare, and personal life. In education, these skills are necessary for academic success, as they enable students to analyze complex information, evaluate evidence, and develop innovative solutions (Bloom 1956, p.12). In business, creative and critical thinking are crucial for driving innovation, solving complex problems, and making informed decisions (De Bono 1970, p.15). In healthcare, these skills are necessary for diagnosing complex medical conditions, developing effective treatment plans, and improving patient outcomes (Schön 1983, pp.18-20).

The Creative-Critical Cycle

The interaction between creative and critical thinking can be understood as a cyclical process. This process can also be seen or referred to more philosophically, as the dialectic process of reasoning, which involves the thesis-antithesis-thesis-antithesis-thesis cycle. Thus;

1. Creative thinking generates multiple possible solutions or approaches
2. Critical thinking evaluates these options based on criteria and constraints
3. Creative thinking refines and adapts solutions based on critical feedback
4. Critical thinking assesses the refined solutions
5. The cycle continues until an optimal solution emerges

This dialectic process combines the divergent nature of creative thinking with the convergent nature of critical thinking, leading to solutions that are both innovative and practical in all spheres of life.

Strategies for Developing Creative and Critical Thinking

There are several strategies that can help develop creative and critical thinking skills. Here are a few:

Brainstorming: Brainstorming involves generating a large number of ideas in a short amount of time. This technique can help stimulate creative thinking and generate innovative solutions (De Bono 1970, p.15).

Critical Thinking Frameworks: Critical thinking frameworks, such as the Paul-Elder framework, can help guide critical thinking and ensure that all relevant factors are considered (Paul and Elder 2001, p.35).

Engage in Reflective Practice: Reflective practice involves reflecting on experiences, thoughts, and feelings to gain insights and improve performance. This technique can help develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Schön 1983, p.20; Lau 2011, p.5).

Strategies for Enhancing Both Forms of Thinking

To cultivate creative and critical thinking, educators and organizations must adopt holistic approaches. Pedagogical methods, such as project-based learning encourages students to explore ideas and evaluate outcomes. Technology, including AI-driven tools, facilitates creative exploration and critical analysis. Also, collaborative approaches, like interdisciplinary research, bridge gaps between creativity and critical thinking, fostering innovation.

Case Examples

Medicine: The development of minimally invasive surgical techniques combined creative engineering with critical evaluation of patient outcomes.

Engineering: The design of sustainable energy systems exemplifies the synergy between innovation and practicality.

Literature: Authors like George Orwell used creative storytelling to critically analyze societal issues.

These are good examples of the intersection between creative and critical thinking as strong skills in solving our day-to-day complexities of life.

Some Challenges Faced

Creative and critical thinking are often perceived as opposing forces even though their integration enhances problem-solving capabilities. It is known that despite their complementary nature, creative and critical thinking can sometimes exist in tension, presenting challenges for integrated problem-solving. These challenges include:

Timing Considerations: Premature criticism can stifle creative ideation, while delayed evaluation can lead to impractical solutions. Thus, finding the appropriate rhythm between generative and analytical modes remains challenging.

Cognitive Preferences: Individuals often demonstrate preferences for either creative or critical thinking styles, making balanced application difficult. Kahneman's (2011, pp.20-30) research on *Fast and Slow Thinking Systems* suggests that different cognitive processes compete for limited mental resources.

Cultural and Contextual Factors: Organizational cultures and social contexts may prioritize one thinking mode over the other. As Hennessey and Amabile (2010, pp.580-584) note, environments that emphasize conformity and risk avoidance can suppress creative thinking, while those that prioritize innovation without sufficient analysis may implement flawed solutions.

Educational Silos: Traditional educational approaches often separate creative activities (arts, design) from critical ones (science, mathematics), thus reinforcing artificial divisions between these complementary cognitive processes.

Hence, addressing these challenges requires deliberate attention to both individual cognitive development and organizational culture. Developing metacognitive awareness helps individuals recognize and overcome personal biases toward particular thinking styles. Similarly, organizations can design processes that explicitly value and incorporate both creative and critical contributions, avoiding premature convergence or endless ideation.

CONCLUSION

Creative and critical thinking represent essential cognitive skills for navigating today's complexities. By nurturing these skills, individuals and organizations can unlock their potential to innovate and adapt in complex situations. Their (creative and critical thinking) synergistic relationship provides a powerful framework for problem-solving, innovation, and decision-making. By understanding these processes, recognizing barriers, and actively developing thinking skills, individuals and organizations can enhance their capacity for effective thinking and problem-solving.

REFERENCES

- Bloom, Benjamin S., et al. (1956). *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals*. David McKay Publishers.
- Csikszentmihalyi, Mihaly (1996). *Creativity: Flow and the Psychology of Discovery and Invention*. New York: Harper Collins.
- De-Bono, Edward (1970). *Lateral Thinking: Creativity Step by Step*. Harper & Row.
- Elder, Linda, and Richard Paul (2012). *Critical Thinking: Tools for Taking Charge of Your Learning and Your Life*. 3rd Edition, Pearson.
- Kaufman, James C., and Robert J. Sternberg, eds. (2010). *The Cambridge Handbook of Creativity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Koestler, Arthur (1964). *The Act of Creation*. Macmillan.

- Lau, Y.F. Joe (2011). *An Introduction to Critical Thinking and Creativity: Think More, Think Better*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Publication.
- Mumford, Michael D., Michele I. Mobley, Roni Reiter-Palmon, Charles E. Uhlman, and Leslee M. Doares (1991). "Process Analytic Models of Creative Capacities." *Creativity Research Journal* 4(2): 91-122
- NA. The Peak Performance Centre. "Critical thinking vs. creative thinking" <https://thepeakperformancecenter.com/educational-learning/thinking/critical-thinking/critical-thinking-vs-creative-thinking/> Accessed 19/01/2025
- NA. "Critical and Creative thinking" <https://opennccc.nccommunitycolleges.edu/courseware/lesson/183/student-old/> Accessed 19/01/2025
- Paul, Richard, and Elder, Linda (2001). *Critical Thinking: Tools for Taking Charge of Your Professional and Personal Life*. Prentice Hall
- Schön, Donald A. (1983). *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. Basic Books, 18-20
- Wallas, Graham (1926). *The Art of Thought*. Harcourt, Brace & World.